

## **On the solution of stochastic multiobjective integer linear programming problems with a parametric study**

**Omar M. Saad**

Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, Helwan University, Egypt.  
[Emam\\_o\\_e@yahoo.com](mailto:Emam_o_e@yahoo.com).

**Dr. Osama E. Emam**

Department of Information Systems,  
Faculty of Computers & Information, Helwan University, Egypt.

**Abstract:** *In this study we consider a multiobjective integer linear stochastic programming problem with individual chance constraints. We assume that there is randomness in the right-hand sides of the constraints only and that the random variables are normally distributed. Some stability notions for such problem are characterized. An auxiliary problem is discussed and an algorithm as well as an example is presented.*

**Keywords :** Multiobjective integer linear programming; chance-constrained technique; Branch-and Bound method; Stability.

### **1. Introduction**

Decision problems of stochastic or probabilistic optimization arise when certain coefficient of an optimization model are not fixed or known but are instead, to some extent, stochastic (i.e. random or probabilistic) quantities.

In recent years methods of multiobjective stochastic optimization have become increasingly important in scientifically based decision-making involved in practical problems arising in economic, industry, healthcare, transportation, agriculture, military purposes and technology. We refer the Stochastic programming Web Site (2002) [10] for links to software as well as test problem collections for stochastic programming. In addition, we point the reader to an extensive list of papers maintained by Maarten van der Vlerk at the Web Site:  
[http://mally.eco.rug.nl/biblio/SP\\_list.html](http://mally.eco.rug.nl/biblio/SP_list.html).

In literature there are many papers that deal with stability of solutions for stochastic multiobjective optimization problems. Among the many suggested approaches for treating stability for these problems are listed in [3, 4, 8, 15].

More recently, some papers for the author and others have been published in the area of stochastic.

multiobjective optimization problems such as [9,10,12]. In [9], a solution algorithm is presented for solving integer linear programming problems involving dependent random parameters in the objective functions and with linearly independent random parameters in the constraints. The main feature of the proposed algorithm is based mainly upon the chance-constrained programming technique [11] along with the cutting-plane method of Gomory [14]. Saad in [10] reviewed theory and methodology that have been developed to cope with the complexity of optimization problems under uncertainty. The classical recourse-based stochastic programming, robust stochastic programming, and probabilistic programming have been discussed and contrasted. In addition, the advantages and shortcomings of these models are reviewed. Applications and the state-of-the-art in computations are also surveyed and several main areas for future development in this field are reported. Stability of solution in multiobjective integer linear programming problems is investigated in [12], where the problem involves random parameters in the right-hand side of the constraints only and those random parameters are normally distributed. Some stability notions for such problems have also been characterized.

This paper is organized as follows: we start in Section 2 by formulating the model of chance-constrained multiobjective integer linear programming problem (CHMOILP) and the solution concept is introduced. In Section 3, a parametric study is carried out on the problem of concern, where some basic stability notions are characterized for the formulated model. These notions are the set of feasible parameters; the solvability set, and the Stability Set of the first Kind (SSK1). Moreover, an algorithm is described to determine the (SSK1) for the (CHMOILP). In Section 4, an example is provided to illustrate the developed results. Finally, in Section 5, some open points are stated for future research work in the area of stochastic multiobjective integer optimization problems.

## 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND THE SOLUTION CONCEPT

The chance-constrained multiobjective integer linear programming problem with random parameters in the right-hand side of the constraints can be stated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CHMOILP):} \quad & \max F(x), \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & x \in X, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X = \left\{ x \in R^n \mid P \left\{ g_i(x) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \right\} \geq \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, x_j \geq 0 \text{ and integer, } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

On the solution of stochastic multiobjective integer linear programming problems with a parametric study

Here  $x$  is the vector of integer decision variables and  $F(x)$  is a vector of  $k$ -linear real-valued objective functions to be maximized. Furthermore,  $P$  means probability and  $\alpha_i$  is a specified probability value. This means that the linear constraints may be violated some of the time and at most  $100(1-\alpha_i)$  % of the time. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the random parameters  $b_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are distributed normally with known means  $E\{b_i\}$  and variances  $\text{Var}\{b_i\}$  and independently of each other.

**Definition 1:**

A point  $x^* \in X$  is said to be an efficient solution for problem (CHMOILP) if there does not exist another  $x \in X$  such that  $F(x) \geq F(x^*)$  and  $F(x) \neq F(x^*)$  with

$$P\left\{ g_i(x^*) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j^* \leq b_i \right\} \geq \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The basic idea in treating problem (CHMOILP) is to convert the probabilistic nature of this problem into a deterministic form. Here, the idea of employing deterministic version will be illustrated by using the interesting technique of chance-constrained programming [11]. In this case, the set of constraints  $X$  of problem (CHMOILP) can be rewritten in the deterministic form as:

$$X' = \left\{ x \in R^n \mid \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq E\{b_i\} + K_{\alpha_i} \sqrt{\text{Var}\{b_i\}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, x_j \geq 0 \text{ and integer}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

where  $K_{\alpha_i}$  is the standard normal value such that  $\Phi(K_{\alpha_i}) = 1 - \alpha_i$ ; and  $\Phi(a)$  represents the ‘‘cumulative distribution function’’ of the standard normal distribution evaluated at  $a$ . Thus, problem (CHMOILP) can be understood as the following deterministic version of a multiobjective integer linear programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(MOILP):} \quad & \max [f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x)], \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & x \in X'. \end{aligned}$$

Now it can be observed, from the nature of problem (MOILP) above, that a suitable scalarization technique for treating such problems is to use the  $\epsilon$ - constraint method [2]. For this purpose, we consider the following integer linear programming problem with a single-objective function as:

$$\begin{aligned} P_s(\epsilon): \quad & \max f_s(x), \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & X(\epsilon) = \left\{ x \in R^n \mid f_r(x) \geq \epsilon_r, r \in K - \{s\}, x \in X' \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $s \in K = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  which can be taken arbitrary.

It should be stated here that an efficient solution  $x^*$  for problem (CHMOILP) can be found by solving the scalar problem  $P_s(\varepsilon)$  and this can be done when the minimum allowable levels  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{s-1}, \varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$  for the  $(k-1)$  objectives  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{s-1}, f_{s+1}, \dots, f_k)$  are determined in the feasible region of solutions  $X(\varepsilon)$ .

It is clear from [2] that a systematic variation of  $\varepsilon_i$ 's will yield a set of efficient solutions. On the other hand, the resulting scalar problem  $P_s(\varepsilon)$  can be solved easily at a certain parameter  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^*$  using the branch-and bound method [14]. If  $x^* \in X(\varepsilon^*)$  is a unique optimal integer solution of problem  $P_s(\varepsilon^*)$ , then  $x^*$  becomes an efficient solution to problem (CHMOILP) with a probability level  $\alpha_i^*$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ .

### 3. A PARAMETRIC STUDY ON PROBLEM (CHMOILP)

Now and before we go any further, we can rewrite problem  $P_s(\varepsilon)$  in the following scalar relaxed subproblem which may occur in the branch-and-bound process as:

$$P_s'(\varepsilon): \quad \begin{aligned} & \max f_s(x), \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & \quad \quad \quad x \in X_s(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_s(\varepsilon) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \in R^n \mid f_r(x) \geq \varepsilon_r, r \in K - \{s\}, \\ g_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq C_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \gamma_j \leq x_j \leq \beta_j, j \in J \subseteq \{ 1, 2, \dots, n \} \end{array} \right\}$$

where the constraint  $\gamma_j \leq x_j \leq \beta_j, j \in J \subseteq \{ 1, 2, \dots, n \}$  is an additional constraint on the decision variable  $x_j$  and that has been added to the set of constraints of problem  $P_s(\varepsilon)$  for obtaining its optimal integer solution  $x^*$  by the branch-and-bound algorithm.

In addition, it is supposed that:

$$C_i = E\{b_i\} + K_{\alpha_i} \text{Var}\{b_i\}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

In what follows, definitions of some basic stability notions are given for the relaxed problem  $P_s(\varepsilon)$  above. We shall be essentially concerned with three basic notions: the set of feasible parameters; the solvability set and the Stability Set of the first Kind (SSK1). The qualitative and quantitative analysis of these notions has been introduced in details by Osman [6, 7] for different classes of parametric optimization problems. Moreover, stability results for such problems have been derived.

On the solution of stochastic multiobjective integer linear programming problems with a parametric study

The feasibility condition for problem  $P_s'(\varepsilon)$  is given in the following.

**The Set of Feasible Parameters**

**Definition 2:**

The set of feasible parameters of problem  $P_s'(\varepsilon)$ , which is denoted by  $A$ , is defined by:

$$A = \{\varepsilon \in R^{k-1} \mid X_s(\varepsilon) \neq \Phi\}.$$

**The Solvability Set**

**Definition 3:**

The solvability set of problem  $P_s'(\varepsilon)$ , which is denoted by  $B$ , is defined by:

$$B = \{\varepsilon \in A \mid \text{Problem } P_s'(\varepsilon) \text{ has optimal integer solution}\}.$$

**The Stability Set of the First Kind**

**Definition 4:**

Suppose that  $\varepsilon^* \in B$  with a corresponding optimal integer solution  $x^*$ , then the stability set of the first kind of problem  $P_s'(\varepsilon)$  corresponding to  $x^*$ , which is denoted by  $S(x^*)$ , is defined by:

$$S(x^*) = \{\varepsilon \in B \mid x^* \text{ remain optimal integer solution of problem } P_s'(\varepsilon)\}.$$

**Utilization of the Kuhn-Tucker Necessary Optimality Conditions for  $P_s'(\varepsilon)$ .**

Now, given an optimal point  $x^*$ , which is found as described earlier in Section 2, the question is: For what values of the vector  $\varepsilon$  the Kuhn-Tucker necessary optimality conditions for the subproblem  $P_s'(\varepsilon)$  are satisfied?

In the following, the Kuhn-Tucker necessary optimality conditions corresponding to problem  $P_s'(\varepsilon)$  will have the form:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial f_s(x)}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq s}}^k \mu_r \frac{\partial f_r(x)}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \frac{\partial g_i(x)}{\partial x_j} + u_j - v_j &= 0, & (j=1,2,\dots,n) \\
 f_r(x) &\geq \varepsilon_r, & r \in K - \{s\}, \\
 g_i(x) &\leq C_i, & (i=1,2,\dots,m), \\
 x_j &\geq \beta_j, & j \in I \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\}, \\
 x_i &\leq \gamma_j, & j \in J \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\}, \\
 \mu_r[-f_r(x) + \varepsilon_r] &= 0, & r \in K - \{s\}, \\
 \delta_i[g_i(x) - C_i] &= 0, & (i=1,2,\dots,m), \\
 u_j[-x_j + \beta_j] &= 0, & j \in I \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\}, \\
 v_j[-x_j - \gamma_j] &= 0, & j \in J \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\}, \\
 \mu_r &\geq 0, & r \in K - \{s\}, \\
 \delta_i &\geq 0, & (i=1,2,\dots,m), \\
 u_j &\geq 0, & j \in I \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\}, \\
 v_j &\geq 0, & j \in I \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\},
 \end{aligned} \right\} (*)$$

where  $I \cup J \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\}$ ,  $I \cap J = \Phi$  and all the above relations of system (\*) above are evaluated at the optimal integer solution  $x^*$ . The variables  $\mu_r$ ,  $\delta_i$ ,  $u_j$ ,  $v_j$  are the Lagrangian multipliers.

The first and last four relations of the system (\*) above represent a Polytope in  $\mu \delta u v$ -space for which its vertices can be determined using any algorithm based upon the simplex method, for example, Balinski [1]. According to whether any of the variables  $\mu_r$ ,  $r \in K - \{s\}$ ,  $\delta_i$ ,  $(i=1,2,\dots,m)$ ,  $u_j$ ,  $(j \in I)$  and  $v_j$ ,  $(j \in J)$  is zero or positive, then the set of parameters  $\varepsilon$ 's for which the Kuhn-Tucker necessary optimality conditions are utilized will be determined. This set is denoted by  $T(x^*)$ .

**Determination of the Set  $T(x^*)$**

In what follows, we propose an algorithm in a series of steps to find the set of possible  $\varepsilon$  which will be denoted by  $T(x^*)$ . For the set  $T(x^*)$ , the point  $x^*$  remains efficient for all values of the vector  $\varepsilon$ . Clearly,  $T(x^*) \subseteq S(x^*)$

The suggested algorithm can be summarized in the following manner.

**The Algorithm:**

**Step 1.** Determine the means and variances  $E\{b_i\}$  and  $Var\{b_i\}$  ( $i=1, 2,\dots,m$ ).

**Step 2.** Convert the original set of constraints  $X$  of problem (CHMOILP) into the equivalent set of

On the solution of stochastic multiobjective integer linear programming problems with a parametric study

constraints  $X'$ .

**Step 3.** Formulate the deterministic multiobjective integer linear problem (MOILP) corresponding

to problem (CHMOILP).

**Step 4.** Formulate the integer linear problem with a single-objective function  $P_s(\varepsilon)$ .

**Step 5.** Solve k-individual integer linear problem  $P_r$ , ( $r=1,2,\dots,k$ ) where

$$P_r: \quad \max \quad f_r(x), \quad (r=1,2,\dots,k),$$

$$\text{subject to}$$

$$x \in X',$$

to find the optimal integer solutions of the k-objectives.

**Step 6.** Construct the payoff table and determine  $n_r$ ,  $M_r$  (the smallest and the largest numbers in the

$r^{\text{th}}$  column in the payoff table).

**Step 7.** Determine the  $\varepsilon_r$ 's from the formula:

$$\varepsilon_r = n_r + \frac{t}{N-1} (M_r - n_r), r \in K - \{s\}$$

where  $t$  is the number of all partitions of the interval  $[n_r, M_r]$ .

**Step 8.**

$$\text{Find the set } \mathfrak{S} = \left\{ \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \mid n_r \leq \varepsilon_r \leq M_r, r \in K - \{s\} \right\}$$

**Step 9.** Choose  $\varepsilon_r^* \in \mathfrak{S}$  and solve the integer linear problem  $P_s(\varepsilon^*)$  using the branch-and-bound

method [14] to find its optimal integer solution  $x^*$ .

**Step 10.** Determine the set  $T(x^*)$  by utilizing the Kuhn-Tucker necessary optimality conditions (\*)

corresponding to problem  $P'_s(\varepsilon)$ .

**Step 11.** If  $T_2(x^*)$  is a one-point set, go to step 12. Otherwise, go to step 13.

**Step 12.** Define  $T_2(x^*) = \left\{ \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \mid \varepsilon_r^* - \Delta \leq \varepsilon_r \leq M_r, r \in K - \{s\} \right\}$ , where  $\Delta$  is any small pre-specified positive real number.

**Step 13.** Determine  $\mathfrak{S} - T_2(x^*)$ . If  $\mathfrak{S} - T_2(x^*) = \phi$ , stop. Otherwise, go to step 14.

**Step 14.** Choose another  $\varepsilon_r = \bar{\varepsilon}_r \in \mathfrak{S} - T_2(x^*)$  and go to step 9.

The above algorithm terminates when the range of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is fully exhausted.

#### 4. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

Here, we provide a numerical example to clarify the developed theory and the proposed algorithm. The problem under consideration is the following bicriterion integer linear programming problem involving random parameters in the right-hand side of the constraints (CHBILP).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(CHBILP):} \quad & \max F(x) = [f_1(x), f_2(x)], \\
 & \text{subject to} \\
 & P\{x_1 + x_2 \leq b_1\} \geq 0.90, \\
 & P\{-x_1 + x_2 \leq b_2\} \geq 0.95, \\
 & P\{3x_1 + x_2 \leq b_3\} \geq 0.90, \\
 & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \text{ and integers.}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_1(x) = 2x_1 + x_2, f_2(x) = x_1 + 2x_2.$$

Suppose that  $b_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are normally distributed random parameters with the following means and variances.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{b_1\} = 1, & & E\{b_2\} = 3, & & E\{b_3\} = 9, \\
 \text{Var}\{b_1\} = 25, & & \text{Var}\{b_2\} = 4, & & \text{Var}\{b_3\} = 4.
 \end{aligned}$$

From standard normal tables, we have:

$$K_{\alpha_1} = K_{\alpha_3} = K_{0.90} \cong 1.285, K_{\alpha_2} = K_{0.95} \cong 1.645$$

For the first constraint, the equivalent deterministic constraint is given by:

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq C_1 = E\{b_1\} + K_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\text{Var}\{b_1\}} = 1 + 1.285(5) = 7.425$$

For the second constraint:

$$-x_1 + x_2 \leq C_2 = E\{b_2\} + K_{\alpha_2} \sqrt{\text{Var}\{b_2\}} = 3 + 1.645(2) = 6.29$$

For the third constraint:

$$3x_1 + x_2 \leq C_3 = E\{b_3\} + K_{\alpha_3} \sqrt{\text{Var}\{b_3\}} = 9 + 1.285(2) = 11.57$$

Therefore, problem (CHBILP) can be understood as the corresponding deterministic bicriterion integer linear programming problem in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(BILP):} \quad & \max [f_1(x) = 2x_1 + x_2, f_2(x) = x_1 + 2x_2], \\
 & \text{subject to}
 \end{aligned}$$



On the solution of stochastic multiobjective integer linear programming problems with a parametric study

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 &\leq 7.425, \\ -x_1 + x_2 &\leq 6.29, \\ 3x_1 + x_2 &\leq 11.57 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \text{ and integers.}\end{aligned}$$

Using the  $\varepsilon$ -constraint method [2], then problem (BILP) above with a single-objective function becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}P_1(\varepsilon): \quad &\max f_1(x) = 2x_1 + x_2, \\ &\text{subject to} \\ &x_1 + 2x_2 \geq \varepsilon_2, \\ &x_1 + x_2 \leq 7.425, \\ &-x_1 + x_2 \leq 6.29, \\ &3x_1 + x_2 \leq 11.57 \\ &x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \text{ and integers.}\end{aligned}$$

It can be shown easily that  $12.7775 \leq \varepsilon_2 \leq 14.2825$ .

Problem  $P_1(\varepsilon)$  can be solved at  $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2^* = 13$  using the branch-and-bound method [14] and its optimal integer solution is found  $(x_1^*, x_2^*) = (1, 6)$ .

Furthermore, problem  $P_1(\varepsilon)$  can be rewritten in the following parametric form as:

$$\begin{aligned}P_1'(\varepsilon): \quad &\max f_1(x) = 2x_1 + x_2, \\ &\text{subject to} \\ &x_1 + 2x_2 \geq \varepsilon_2, \\ &x_1 + x_2 \leq 7.425, \\ &-x_1 + x_2 \leq 6.29, \\ &3x_1 + x_2 \leq 11.57 \\ &0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \\ &0 \leq x_2 \leq 6\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Kuhn-Tucker necessary optimality conditions corresponding to problem  $P_1'(\varepsilon)$  will take the following form:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 2 + \mu_1 - \delta_1 + \delta_2 - 3\delta_3 - u_1 &= 0, \\
 1 + 2\mu_1 - \delta_1 - \delta_2 - \delta_3 - u_2 &= 0, \\
 x_1 + 2x_2 &\geq \varepsilon_2, \\
 x_1 + x_2 &\leq 7.425, \\
 -x_1 + x_2 &\leq 6.29, \\
 3x_1 + x_2 &\leq 11.57, \\
 0 \leq x_1 &\leq 1, \\
 0 \leq x_2 &\leq 6, \\
 \mu_1(-x_2 - 2x_2 + \varepsilon_2) &= 0, \\
 \delta_1(x_1 + x_2 - 7.425) &= 0, \\
 \delta_2(-x_2 + x_2 - 6.29) &= 0, \\
 \delta_3(3x_2 + x_2 - 11.57) &= 0, \\
 u_1(x_1 - 1) &= 0, \\
 u_2(x_2 - 6) &= 0, \\
 \mu_1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, u_1, u_2 &\geq 0
 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (\#)$$

where all the above expressions of system (#) are evaluated at the optimal integer solution  $(x_1^*, x_2^*) = (1, 6)$ . In addition, it can be shown that

$$\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 0, u_1, u_2 > 0, \mu_1 \geq 0$$

Therefore, the set  $T_1(1, 6)$  is given by:

$$T_1(1, 6) = \{ \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \mid 12.7775 \leq \varepsilon_2 \leq 13 \}.$$

A systematic variation of  $\varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $12.775 \leq \varepsilon_2 \leq 13$  will yield another stability set  $T_2(1, 6)$ , and so on.

### 5. Conclusions:

The purpose of this study is to investigate stability of the efficient solution for chance-constrained multiobjective integer linear programming problem. A parametric study is carried out on the problem under consideration, where some basic stability notions have been defined and characterized for the formulated problem.

Many aspects and general questions remain to be studied and explored in the field of multiobjective integer optimization problems under randomness. This paper is

On the solution of stochastic multiobjective integer linear programming problems with a parametric study

an attempt to establish underlying results which hopefully will help others to answer some or all of these questions.

There are however several unsolved problems, in our opinion, to be studied in future. Some of these problems are:

- (i) An algorithm is required for solving multiobjective integer linear programming problems involving random parameters in the left-hand side of the constraints,
- (ii) An algorithm is needed for treating large-scale multiobjective integer linear nonlinear programming problems under randomness,
- (iii) An algorithm should be handled for solving integer linear and integer nonlinear goal programs involving random parameters.

### References:

- [1] Balinski, M., " An Algorithm For Finding All Vertices of Convex Polyhedral Sets", SIAM Journal, Vol. 9, No. 1, 72-88, 1961.
- [2] Chankong, V. and Haimes, Y. Y., " Multiobjective Decision-Making: Theory and Methodology" North Holland Series in System Science and Engineering, 1983.
- [3] El-Banna, A. Z. and Youness, E. A., (1993), "On Some Basic Notions of Stochastic Multiobjective Problems with Random Parameters in the Constraints", Microelectronics. Reliability, Vol. 33, No. 13, 1981-1986.
- [4] Guddat, J., Vasquez, F., Tammer, K. and Wendler, K., " Multiobjective and Stochastic Optimization Based on Parametric Optimization", Akademie-Verlage, Berlin, 1985.
- [5] Osama, Ez-Eldin., " On Stochastic Multiobjective Integer Linear Programming Problems", M.SC. Thesis, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.,2000.
- [6] Osman, M. S. A., " Qualitative Analysis of Basic Notions in Parametric Convex Programming I (Parameters in the Constraints) ", Applied. Math. CSSR Akad. Ved. Prague, 22, 318-332, 1977.
- [7] Osman, M. S. A., " Qualitative Analysis of Basic Notions in Parametric Convex Programming II (Parameters in the Objective Function)," Applied. Math. CSSR Akad. Ved. Prague, 22, 333-348, 1977.
- [8] Osman, M. S. A. and Saad, O. M., " On the Solution of Chance-Constrained Multiobjective Linear Programming Problems with A Parametric Study, Proceedings of the First International Conference On Operations Research and its Applications", Higher Technological Institute, Ramadan Tenth. City, Egypt, 1994.

- [9] Saad, O. M. and Kittani, H. F., " Multiobjective Integer Linear Programming Problems Under Randomness", IAPQR Transactions, Vol.28, No.2, 101-108, 2003.
- [10] Saad, O. M., (2006), "Optimization Under Uncertainty: A State-of-the-Art", Paper accepted for publication in Applied Mathematics and Computation, to appear, 2006.
- [11] Seppälä, Y., "On Accurate Linear Approximations For Chance-Constrained Programming", Journal of Operational. Research. Society, Vol. 39, No. 7, 693-694, 1988.
- [12] Sharif, W.H. and Saad, O.M., " On Stability in Multiobjective Integer Linear Programming: A Stochastic Approach, American Journal of Applied Sciences, Vol. 2, No. 12, 1558-1561, 2005.
- [13] Stochastic Programming Web Site, (2002), <http:// Stoprog.org>, Current as of July 2002.
- [14] Taha, H. A., (1975), "Integer Programming: Theory, Applications and Computations," Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [15] Vogel, S., (1992), "On Stability in Multiobjective Programming-A Stochastic Approach," Mathematical Programming, 60, 91-119, 1992.